

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/989,441		Applicant(s) MATSUZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Frank W Lu		Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) Frank W Lu.

(2) Ms. Michelle Holmes-Son (Reg. No. 47,660).

Date of Interview: 8 May 2003

Status of Application: the response to non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:30AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicant agrees with the examiner's amendments.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7-9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Holmes-Son agrees with the examiner's amendments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)